

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/646,079	BARR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Nitin C. Patel	2116	

**All Participants:**

(1) Nitin C. Patel. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Michael G. Verga [reg.# 39,410]. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 November 2006

**Time:** 2:25 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: n/a.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

29-34, 38-41, and 45 - 46.

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Nitin C. Patel 11/28/06  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has initiated an interview with attorney, to correct the wrong dependency of claims 29 - 34, and 38 - 41, and to reword the claims 45 and 46.. And attorney is agreed upon and authorized the examiner to correct it by replacing "27" with --- 28-- for claims 29 -34, and 38 - 41, and rewording claims 45 -46 as per examiner's amendment ..